

NOTE: THE ORDER MADE BY THE HIGH COURT ON 28 MAY 2012 PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF THE PARTIES' NAMES AND ANY PARTICULARS THAT WOULD IDENTIFY THE RESPONDENT (INCLUDING HER NAME, OCCUPATION, EMPLOYMENT HISTORY AND HEALTH) REMAINS IN FORCE PENDING FURTHER ORDER OF THE HIGH COURT.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

**SC 78/2014
[2014] NZSC 131**

BETWEEN LFDB
 Applicant

AND SM
 Respondent

Court: McGrath, William Young and Arnold JJ

Counsel: M S Smith for Applicant
 A E Hinton QC for Respondent

Judgment: 25 September 2014

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for leave to appeal is granted (*SM v LFDB* [2014] NZCA 326).**
- B The approved ground of appeal is whether the Court of Appeal was wrong to allow the appeal.**
- C The Registrar is directed to set down the appeal for hearing as soon as possible during 2014.**
-

REASONS

[1] We did not find it necessary to consider the respondent's chronology in determining the leave application. The application of the applicant to respond

accordingly lapses. Such matters may, to the extent relevant, be addressed in the parties' submissions and will be considered solely in that context.

Solicitors:
Holland Beckett, Tauranga for the Applicant
Friedlander & Co Ltd, Auckland for the Respondent