## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 19/2014 [2014] NZSC 145

BETWEEN RAZDAN RAFIQ

**Applicant** 

AND THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE

MINISTRY OF BUSINESS.

INNOVATION AND EMPLOYMENT

First Respondent

THE COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW

ZEALAND POLICE Second Respondent

SC 40/2014

BETWEEN RAZDAN RAFIQ

Applicant

AND THE PRIVACY COMMISSIONER

Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, William Young and Arnold JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person

S M Kinsler for Respondents SC 19/2014 K E Evans for Respondents SC 40/2014

Judgment: 10 October 2014

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for recall is dismissed.

## **REASONS**

[1] On 16 June 2014, the Court delivered judgment dismissing two applications for leave to appeal by Mr Rafiq against decisions of Harrison J upholding decisions

by the Registrar of the Court of Appeal as to security for costs.<sup>1</sup> Mr Rafiq now seeks a recall of the judgment.

- [2] The points raised by Mr Rafiq are not new, with one exception. The exception is that Mr Rafiq alleges that the Court:
  - ... failed to consider that the review of the registrar's decision not to dispense with security for costs was not presided by a panel of 3 Judges including the President of the Court of Appeal. This has prejudiced the outcome of the Court of Appeal's decision.
- [3] However, there is clear statutory authority for a single Judge of the Court of Appeal to review a decision of the Registrar as to security for costs: see s 61A(3) of the Judicature Act 1908 and rule 7(2) of the Court of Appeal (Civil) Rules 2005.
- [4] Accordingly, the application for recall is dismissed.

Solicitors:

Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondents SC 19/2014

Rafiq v Chief Executive of Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment [2014] NZSC 72.