

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 94/2013
[2014] NZSC 22

BETWEEN JOHN KENNETH SLAVICH
Applicant

AND ATTORNEY-GENERAL
Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, William Young and Arnold JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person
P J Gunn for Respondent

Judgment: 19 March 2014

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The applications for an amendment of the judgment of 26 November 2013 and its recall are declined.

REASONS

[1] Mr Slavich requested by email that the Court amend the judgment of 26 November 2013¹ so that it states that security is to be provided by 13 December 2013. He has subsequently applied for the judgment to be recalled.

[2] We are not sure why the amendment was sought. If Mr Slavich does not intend to provide security, the amendment proposed would have no practical point. And if he does intend to provide security, he should just do so as the order fixing the time for providing security was not made on an “unless” basis.

¹ *Slavich v Attorney-General* [2013] NZSC 130.

[3] The recall application raises nothing warranting the recall of the 26 November 2013 judgment.

Solicitors:
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent