IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

AND

SC 5/2014 [2014] NZSC 51

BETWEEN	QUAKE OUTCASTS
	Applicant

THE MINISTER FOR CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY First Respondent

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY AUTHORITY Second Respondent

FOWLER DEVELOPMENTS LIMITED Third Respondent

SC 8/2014

	BETWEEN	FOWLER DEVELOPMENTS LIMITED Applicant	
	AND	THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY AUTHORITY Respondent	
Court:	Elias CJ and William	Elias CJ and William Young J	
Counsel:	S P Rennie and J E E	F M R Cooke QC and M S R Palmer for Quake Outcasts S P Rennie and J E Bayley for Fowler Developments Limited D J Goddard QC, K G Stephen and A A Jacobs for Respondents	
Judgment:	5 May 2014		

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The applications for leave to appeal in SC 5/2014 and SC 8/2014 are granted.
- **B** The questions on which leave is granted are:

- (a) Was the establishment of the Residential Red Zones in Christchurch lawful as being a legitimate exercise of any common law powers or "residual freedom" the Crown may have, given the terms of the Christchurch Earthquake Recovery Act 2011?
- (b) Were the offers made by the Crown to Residential Red Zone property owners under s 53 of the Christchurch Earthquake Recovery Act 2011 lawfully made? In particular:
 - (i) Was there a material failure to comply with the Act?
 - (ii) Was there a rational basis for the distinction drawn between those owners who were insured and those who were uninsured?
- C The appeal is set down for hearing on 29 and 30 July 2014. The appellants' submissions are to be filed and served by 4 pm on 10 June 2014. The respondents' submissions are to be filed and served by 4 pm on 1 July 2014.

Solicitors: GCA Lawyers, Christchurch for Applicant SC5/2014 Rhodes & Co, Christchurch for Applicant SC8/2014 Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondents