

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 21/2014
[2014] NZSC 60

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER
Applicant

AND OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE
Respondent

SC 23/2014

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER
Appellant

AND MICHAEL PETER STIASSNY AND
KORDA MENTHA (FORMERLY
FERRIER HODGSON)
Respondent

Court: Elias CJ and William Young J

Counsel: Applicant in person
I T F Hikaka and E D Nilsson for Respondent SC 21/2014
M Heard and E D Nilsson for Respondents SC 23/2014

Judgment: 26 May 2014

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for recall is dismissed.

REASONS

[1] The applicant seeks a recall of the judgment of this Court delivered on 30 April 2014 dismissing two applications for leave to appeal against three judgments delivered by Wild J in the Court of Appeal.¹ The complaint is that the Court issued a single judgment dismissing two applications dealing with unrelated

¹ *Siemer v Official Assignee* [2014] NZSC 42.

respondents and what are said to be unrelated judgments² and in the absence of an order consolidating the applications. No authority was cited for the proposition that it was not open to the Court to act as it did and we are satisfied that there is nothing in the applicant's complaint which would warrant the recall of the judgment.

[2] The application to recall the judgment is accordingly dismissed.

Solicitors:
LeeSalmonLong, Auckland for Respondents

² They were unrelated save in respect of some commonality between the challenges advanced by the applicant.