

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 51/2015
[2015] NZSC 111

BETWEEN MALCOLM EDWARD RABSON
Applicant

AND TRANSPARENCY INTERNATIONAL
NEW ZEALAND INCORPORATED
Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, Arnold and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person
D R Kalderimis and K E Yesberg for the Respondent

Judgment: 24 July 2015

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.**
- B The applicant must pay costs of \$2,500 to the respondent.**
-

REASONS

[1] The applicant, Mr Rabson, applies for leave to appeal to this Court against a decision of Randerson J.¹ In that decision, Randerson J upheld the decision of the Deputy Registrar of the Court of Appeal refusing to dispense with security for costs for the applicant's appeal.

[2] The applicant's underlying appeal relates to judicial review proceedings instituted in the High Court against various decisions of the respondent.

¹ *Rabson v Transparency International New Zealand Inc* [2015] NZCA 188. While Mr John Creser was a plaintiff in the High Court, only Mr Rabson sought a review of the Registrar's decision in the Court of Appeal: see [1] of Randerson J's judgment. Similarly, the body of Mr Rabson's application for leave to appeal specifies that only he seeks to appeal Randerson J's judgment.

[3] In dismissing the application for review of the Deputy Registrar's decision, Randerson J applied the principles set out in *Reekie v Attorney-General*.² Those principles are settled and the applicant's application raises no point of public importance. There is no appearance of a miscarriage of justice.

Result

[4] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

[5] The applicant must pay costs of \$2,500 to the respondent.

Solicitors:
Chapman Tripp, Wellington for Respondent

² *Reekie v Attorney-General* [2014] NZSC 63, [2014] 1 NZLR 737.