

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND**

**SC 5/2015  
[2015] NZSC 48**

BETWEEN MAREE HOWARD  
Applicant

AND ACCIDENT COMPENSATION  
CORPORATION  
Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, Glazebrook and Arnold JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person  
P A McBride for Respondent

Judgment: 28 April 2015

---

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

---

**The application for recall is dismissed.**

---

**REASONS**

[1] On 11 March 2015, this Court issued a decision dismissing Mrs Howard's application for leave to appeal against a decision of Randerson J.<sup>1</sup> The Judge had dismissed Mrs Howard's application for a review of the decision of the Registrar of the Court of Appeal in relation to security for costs.<sup>2</sup> Mrs Howard now applies for a recall of this Court's decision.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Howard v Accident Compensation Corporation* [2015] NZSC 25.

<sup>2</sup> *Howard v Accident Compensation Corporation* [2015] NZCA 627.

[2] The basis on which courts will recall their judgments is limited.<sup>3</sup> Mrs Howard has not raised anything which would justify a recall in this instance. In essence, she is simply attempting to relitigate matters, which is impermissible.

[3] Accordingly, we dismiss Mrs Howard's application for recall. We make no order as to costs.

Solicitors:  
McBride Davenport James, Wellington for Respondent

---

<sup>3</sup> See *Saxmere Company Ltd v Wool Board Disestablishment Company Ltd* [2009] NZSC 122, [2010] 1 NZLR 76 at [2]. See also *Erwood v Maxted* [2010] NZCA 93, (2010) 20 PRNZ 466 at [23].