

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 6/2015  
[2015] NZSC 52

BETWEEN                      VINCENT ROSS SIEMER  
   Applicant

AND                              REGISTRAR OF THE SUPREME  
   COURT  
   First Respondent

   MINISTRY OF JUSTICE  
   Second Respondent

Court:                      Elias CJ, Glazebrook and O'Regan JJ

Counsel:                      Applicant in person  
   H M Carrad for First and Second Respondents

Judgment:                      5 May 2015

---

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

---

**The application for recall is dismissed.**

---

**REASONS**

[1]     The applicant seeks recall of our judgment in relation to his application for leave to appeal.<sup>1</sup>

[2]     The ground on which the application for recall is advanced is that the judgment “did not address the bona fide ground of appeal” in the application for leave. This refers to the statement in the application for leave that the order to which the application related prevented the applicant from pursuing his substantive appeal to the Court of Appeal on a matter which he says was described as a matter of public interest by a Judge and a Deputy Registrar.

---

<sup>1</sup>     *Vincent Ross Siemer v Registrar of the Supreme Court* [2015] NZSC 39 [17 April 2015].

[3] We do not accept that the order had that effect. The applicant's failure to meet the requirements of the Court of Appeal (Civil) Rules 2005 was the reason that he could not pursue his appeal to the Court of Appeal.

[4] The application for recall is therefore dismissed.

Solicitors:  
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondents.