

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 24/2015
[2015] NZSC 63

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER
 Applicant

AND CLARE O'BRIEN
 First Respondent

 ATTORNEY-GENERAL
 Second Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, William Young and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: V R Siemer in person
 D L Harris for First and Second Respondents

Judgment: 15 May 2015

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.**
- B The applicant must pay costs of \$2,500 to the second respondent.**
-

REASONS

[1] The applicant seeks leave to appeal against a decision of White J dismissing his application for review of a decision of the Deputy Registrar of the Court of Appeal refusing to dispense with security for costs.¹ The applicant has challenged the participation of the second respondent in the appeal (CA 693/2014) and says security for costs should not have been ordered in favour of the second respondent.

¹ *Siemer v O'Brien* [2015] NZCA 86 (White J).

[2] This Court has already dismissed the applicant's objection to the participation of the second respondent in the appeal (CA 693/2014).² In dismissing the application for review, White J applied the principles set out in *Reekie v Attorney-General*.³ Those principles are settled and no point of public importance arises. There is no appearance of a miscarriage of justice.

[3] The application is therefore dismissed.

[4] The applicant must pay costs of \$2,500 to the second respondent.

Solicitors:
Crown Law Office, Wellington for the Respondents

² *Siemer v O'Brien* [2015] NZSC 13 at [5].

³ *Reekie v Attorney-General* [2014] NZSC 63, [2014] 1 NZLR 737.