NOTE: PUBLICATION OF NAMES OR IDENTIFYING PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINANTS PROHIBITED BY S 139 OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT 1985.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC110/2017 [2017] NZSC 192

BETWEEN GRAEME ANDREW JOBLIN

Applicant

AND THE QUEEN

Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, O'Regan and Ellen France JJ

Counsel: Applicant in Person

J E Mildenhall for Respondent

Judgment: 19 December 2017

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for an extension of time to apply for leave to appeal is granted.
- B The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

REASONS

Introduction

[1] Mr Joblin was convicted of a range of sexual offending against 10 young male complainants. He was also convicted of supplying some of the young men with cannabis.

[2] Mr Joblin seeks leave to appeal out of time¹ against a decision of the Court of

Appeal dismissing his appeal against conviction.²

[3] Mr Joblin's proposed grounds of appeal relate to issues of disclosure and with

the alleged conduct of his trial counsel.

Our assessment

[4] The points Mr Joblin seeks to raise are very fact specific and are essentially

those raised in the Court of Appeal and dealt with in its judgment. Nothing raised by

Mr Joblin suggests any error in the Court of Appeal's analysis.

[5] This means that no point of general of public importance arises. Nor is there a

risk of a miscarriage of justice.

Result

[6] The application for an extension of time to apply for leave to appeal is granted.

[7] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

Solicitors:

Crown Law, Wellington, for Respondent

Mr Joblin's application was some two months out of time pursuant to r 11 of the Supreme Court Rules 2004. His explanation for the delay is the difficulties he encountered getting legal assistance with his application. The Crown does not oppose the application for an extension of time to

² Joblin v R [2016] NZCA 287 (Stevens, Woodhouse and Wylie JJ).