IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 23/2017 [2017] NZSC 90

	BETWEEN	ANNA ELIZABETH OSBORNE AND SONYA LYNNE ROCKHOUSE Applicants	
	AND	WORKSAFE NEW ZEALAND First Respondent	
		DISTRICT COURT AT WELLINGTON Second Respondent	
Court:	Elias CJ, William Yo	Elias CJ, William Young and Ellen France JJ	
Counsel:	J C Holden and M J	K N Hampton QC and S N Meikle for Applicants J C Holden and M J R Conway for First Respondent No appearance for Second Respondent	
Judgment:	19 June 2017		

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for leave to appeal is granted in part (Osborne v Worksafe New Zealand [2017] NZCA 11).
- **B** Subject to the qualification discussed at [1], the approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to dismiss the applicants' appeal to that Court.

REASONS

[1] The applicants also sought leave to challenge the Court of Appeal's conclusion that the decision of Judge Farish in the District $Court^1$ was not unlawful by reason of the Judge's failure to recuse herself.² This is an issue related to the

¹ Department of Labour v Whittall DC Christchurch CRI-2012-018-0821, 12 December 2013.

² Osborne v Worksafe New Zealand [2017] NZCA 11, [2017] 2 NZLR 513 (Kós P, Randerson and French JJ) at [99].

particular circumstances of this case and does not meet the criteria for leave to appeal to this Court. The application for leave to appeal is refused on this point.

Solicitors: S N Meikle, Wellington for Applicants Crown Law Office, Wellington for First Respondent