

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI O AOTEAROA

SC 109/2024  
[2024] NZSC 165

BETWEEN KYLE JAMES CRAIG  
Applicant

AND NEW ZEALAND POLICE  
Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, Ellen France and Miller JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person  
M H Cooke for Respondent

Judgment: 5 December 2024

---

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

---

**A The interlocutory applications and application for bail are dismissed.**

**B The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.**

---

REASONS

[1] Mr Craig has sought leave to appeal direct from a judgment of the High Court dismissing his appeal against conviction and sentence.<sup>1</sup>

[2] Mr Craig is currently serving a 16-month term of imprisonment imposed by the District Court on 19 January 2024 on three charges related to the breach of a protection order and two charges related to the possession of cannabis and refusal to give particulars to enable the unlocking of his mobile phone.<sup>2</sup> He pleaded guilty to those charges.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Craig v New Zealand Police* [2024] NZHC 2589 (Osborne J).

<sup>2</sup> *New Zealand Police v Craig* [2024] NZDC 1030 (Judge Harvey).

[3] There are no exceptional circumstances warranting a second appeal from the High Court to this Court.<sup>3</sup> The appeal raises no questions of general or public importance.<sup>4</sup> Nor is there anything to suggest the High Court was wrong.

[4] We dismiss Mr Craig's applications to file submissions in reply and be present at an oral leave hearing. We also dismiss Mr Craig's application for bail.<sup>5</sup>

[5] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

Solicitors:

Te Tari Ture o te Karauna | Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent

---

<sup>3</sup> Senior Courts Act 2016, s 75.

<sup>4</sup> Section 74(2)(a).

<sup>5</sup> Bail Act 2000, ss 14(1A) and 54.