IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI O AOTEAROA

SC 105/2024 [2024] NZSC 177

BETWEEN RASIER OPERATIONS BV

First Applicant

UBER PORTIER BV Second Applicant

UBER BV Third Applicant

PORTIER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Fourth Applicant

RASIER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

Fifth Applicant

AND E TŪ INCORPORATED

First Respondent

FIRST UNION INCORPORATED

Second Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, Ellen France and Williams JJ

Counsel: P F Wicks KC, K M Dunn and N L Walker for Applicants

P Cranney, G Liu and E Griffin for Respondents

Judgment: 19 December 2024

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A Leave to appeal is granted (*Rasier Operations BV v E Tū Inc* [2024] NZCA 403).
- B The approved question is whether the four Uber drivers are employees in terms of s 6 of the Employment Relations Act 2000.

REASONS

[1] In addressing the approved question, the parties should address not only the reasoning of the Court of Appeal but also that of the Employment Court.¹ The parties may also (to the extent relevant) make submissions on the changing nature of work.

Solicitors: Russell McVeagh, Wellington for Applicants Oakley Moran, Wellington for Respondents

¹ E Tū Inc v Rasier Operations BV [2022] NZEmpC 192, (2022) 19 NZELR 475.