## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

## I TE KŌTI MANA NUI O AOTEAROA

SC 31/2024 [2024] NZSC 92

BETWEEN KORO PUTUA

**Applicant** 

AND ATTORNEY-GENERAL

Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, Kós and Miller JJ

Counsel: D A Ewen KC and M D N Harris for Applicant

D Jones and S Cvitanovich for Respondent

Judgment: 1 August 2024

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A Leave to appeal is granted (Attorney-General v Putua [2024] NZCA 67).
- B The approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to allow the appeal.

## **REASONS**

[1] If counsel wish to contend that *Attorney-General v Chapman* should no longer remain good law in New Zealand, they must also address the circumstances in which this Court should overrule its prior decisions.<sup>1</sup>

Solicitors:

Ord Legal, Wellington for Applicant

Te Tari Ture o te Karauna | Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Attorney-General v Chapman [2011] NZSC 110, [2012] 1 NZLR 462.